

In response to the currently proposed Low Power FM stations action before the Commission, a number of concerns have come up for me. The most pressing is that I haven't read anywhere in the documentation yet, that existing station owners would be compensated for signal interference and/or priority. Furthermore, if said interference/priority occurred and neither party was willing to discontinue broadcasting, who is the party in the right?

As a daily listener of the K-LOVE and AIR-1 translator stations in the Portland, Oregon market, it is alarming to me that the Commission is willing to allow more commercial interests to effectively eliminate these community resources so quickly. Both of these stations provide incredible encouragement through both word and music with no commercial advertisements as required by law. In addition, community events that I am interested in are broadcast regularly so I am made aware of what is happening in the area.

Should an LPFM broadcaster gain priority over the KLOVE or AIR-1 translator stations, I believe the Portland/Vancouver metro area would lose an incredible resource of community events, encouraging and positive music, and edifying words. I do have a question for the Commission regarding the "transfer" of low power translator operators such as KLOVE and AIR-1 to LPFM broadcasting signals. Could they have priority over new interests, and could existing low power translator operators be given priority of new interests? First right of refusal seems quite fair to me.

Thank you for your time reading my concerns. As a telecommunications professional who regularly follows the Commission in part for curiosity and staying current to the market, I appreciate every one of the staff's and Commissioner's efforts to keeping the Communications markets as free of regulatory obstacles and the fairness applied to those entities already providing products and services in the industry.

Kindest Regards,
Tony Adams